RESEARCH Open Access

Efficiency of acetate-based isopropanol synthesis in *Escherichia coli* W is controlled by ATP demand

Regina Kutscha¹, Tamara Tomin³, Ruth Birner-Gruenberger³, Pavlos Stephanos Bekiaris⁴, Steffen Klamt⁴ and Stefan Pflügl $1,2^*$

Abstract

Background Due to increasing ecological concerns, microbial production of biochemicals from sustainable carbon sources like acetate is rapidly gaining importance. However, to successfully establish large-scale production scenarios, a solid understanding of metabolic driving forces is required to inform bioprocess design. To generate such knowledge, we constructed isopropanol-producing *Escherichia coli* W strains.

Results Based on strain screening and metabolic considerations, a 2-stage process was designed, incorporating a growth phase followed by a nitrogen-starvation phase. This process design yielded the highest isopropanol titers on acetate to date (13.3 g L⁻¹). Additionally, we performed shotgun and acetylated proteomics, and identifed several stress conditions in the bioreactor scenarios, such as acid stress and impaired sulfur uptake. Metabolic modeling allowed for an in-depth characterization of intracellular fux distributions, uncovering cellular demand for ATP and acetyl-CoA as limiting factors for routing carbon toward the isopropanol pathway. Moreover, we asserted the importance of a balance between fluxes of the NADPH-providing isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH) and the product pathway.

Conclusions Using the newly gained system-level understanding for isopropanol production from acetate, we assessed possible engineering approaches and propose process designs to maximize production. Collectively, our work contributes to the establishment and optimization of acetate-based bioproduction systems.

Keywords ATP demand, Metabolic modeling, Nitrogen starvation, Proteomics, Sustainable bioprocessing, Intracellular fux distribution

*Correspondence: Stefan Pflügl stefan.pfuegl@tuwien.ac.at Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

With the arising need for ecological, microbial production of biochemicals and biofuels, considerable focus has been placed on utilizing sustainable carbon sources [[1–](#page-17-0)[6\]](#page-17-1). Such carbon sources should ideally be obtained cheaply to compete with fossil fuel-based production. Acetate, which can be readily derived from lignocellulosic and gaseous waste streams, fts these criteria perfectly [\[7](#page-17-2)[–10](#page-17-3)]. Gas fermentation in particular enables a sustainable acetate supply $[11, 12]$ $[11, 12]$ $[11, 12]$ $[11, 12]$.

However, due to its toxicity at high concentrations and low energy content compared to sugars, its implementation into bioprocesses is somewhat challenging [[13–](#page-17-6)[15](#page-17-7)]. Energetic considerations are particularly important, because the ATP yield on acetate amounts to only 7 ATP, while substrates like glycerol and glucose support the formation of 15 and 26 ATP per molecule, respectively [[16\]](#page-17-8). Nevertheless, in the past decade, multiple studies demonstrated the use of acetate for heterologous metabolite production, especially in *E. coli.* Obtained products include polyhydroxyalkanoates, naringenin, succinate, itaconic acid, isobutanol, and isopropanol [[17–](#page-17-9) [22\]](#page-18-0). Many of the pathways for these metabolites branch from the central carbon metabolism either from acetyl-CoA (polyhydroxyalkanoates, naringenin, isopropanol), the TCA cycle (succinate, itaconic acid, polyhydroxyalkanoates), or from pyruvate (isobutanol). The location of the branch node is important to consider for any pathway or strain optimization approach. For example, eliminating unwanted side product formation at the branch node can signifcantly increase production in the case of 2,3-butanediol [\[5](#page-17-10)]. Therefore, extensive metabolic characterization of any recombinant strains is necessary to further potential bioprocess development.

Isopropanol is a promising metabolite, because it has a wide range of applications as a solvent, a bulk chemical precursor, in cosmetics and in pharmaceutical products [[23,](#page-18-1) [24](#page-18-2)]. While it is usually derived from fossil fuel-based sources, the need for a more sustainable production has also been recognized [[24](#page-18-2)]. As of now, microbial processes do not yet have the capabilities to compete with conventional production. However, further research with sustainable carbon sources like acetate might eventually establish them as a viable alternative.

To produce isopropanol in *E. coli*, a recombinant pathway extending from acetyl-CoA has to be introduced [25]. The pathway is depicted in Fig. [1](#page-2-0).

Acetate is usually taken up via acetate kinase (AckA) and phosphate acetyltransferase (Pta) at high concentrations and converted to acetyl-CoA [[26\]](#page-18-4). At this stage, the carbon either enters the TCA cycle (via citrate synthase, GltA) or is directed toward product formation (via thiolase, Thl). As carbon passes the acetate CoAtransferase (AtoDA), an additional acetate can be taken up "ATP-free", thereby linking product formation and substrate uptake. The final reduction step from acetone to isopropanol requires NADPH as electron donor and is appropriated from the natural isopropanol producer *Clostridium beijerinckii*.

Currently, isopropanol production from acetate in *E. coli* relies mainly on pathway overexpression on complex medium and some additional cofactor engineering to

provide more NADPH [[22\]](#page-18-0). However, advancing toward industrial-scale processes requires cheaper media without complex components such as yeast extract and a suitable strain and process design. The latter two hinge on a system-level mechanistic understanding of acetatefueled cells producing isopropanol, which has yet to be established. Very little is known about the metabolic requirements for isopropanol formation, whether it can be realized growth-dependently or -independently and which measures are available to divert carbon fux toward Thl for efficient production. However, these factors need to be accommodated in process design, for instance, by applying diferent kinds of nutrient limitations or starvations in case a growth limitation is necessary.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to implement an efficient bioproduction scenario for isopropanol synthesis from acetate. To that end, we constructed a constitutively expressed pathway, tested it in several *E. coli* host strains, and designed a process considering inherent metabolic constraints. We evaluated diferent process modes and performed proteomics and acetylation analysis. Moreover, we carried out metabolic modeling with the physiological data to elucidate the driving forces and potential limitations behind efficient isopropanol production on acetate. Based on the fundamental understandings of isopropanol-producing *E. coli,* we propose strategies for further strain and process improvements which will enable successful large-scale process development.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and media

E. coli W (DSM 1116=ATCC 9637=NCIMB 8666) from DSMZ (Braunschweig, Germany), *E. coli* W *ΔldhA ΔadhE* (*E. coli* W KO2, kind gift of Prof. Michael Sauer (BOKU, Vienna, Austria)), and *E. coli* W *ΔldhA ΔadhE Δpta ΔfrdA* (*E. coli* W KO4, kind gift of Prof. Michael Sauer, BOKU, Austria) were used as a host organism to derive all strains used in this study. *E. coli* TOP10™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (NYSE: TMO)) was used for plasmid construction and propagation steps. A comprehensive list of all bacterial strains used and created in this study can be found in Table S1 in Additional fle [1](#page-17-11).

All chemicals were purchased from Carl Roth GmbH+Co. KG (Karlsruhe, Germany) if not stated otherwise. Liquid lysogeny broth (LB), prepared with 10 g L^{-1} peptone, 10 g L^{-1} NaCl and 5 g L^{-1} yeast extract, was used for all plasmid propagation steps during cloning, for precultures of shake fask experiments and the frst preculture step for bioreactor cultivations. To prepare LB plates, 15 $g L^{-1}$ of agar–agar was added. The base medium for shake flask main cultures, the second preculture step for bioreactor cultivations, and the batch medium for bioreactor cultivations were derived from Riesenberg et al. [\[27](#page-18-5)] at pH 7 containing: 13.3 g L^{-1} KH₂PO₄, 4.0 g L⁻¹ or 1 g L⁻¹ (NH₄)₂HPO₄ (4 g L⁻¹ yielded about 6.5 g L^{-1} biomass, 1 g L^{-1} yielded about 2 g L^{-1} biomass in the batches), 1.7 g L^{-1} citric acid (autoclaved) 1.2 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄ * 7 H₂O, 0.10 g L⁻¹ Fe(III) citrate, 0.0084 g L⁻¹ EDTA, 0.013 g L⁻¹ Zn(CH₃COO)₂ * 2 H₂O, 0.0025 g L⁻¹ CoCl₂ * 6 H₂O (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), 0.015 g L⁻¹ MnCl₂ * 4 H₂O, 0.0012 g L⁻¹ CuCl₂ * 2 H₂O, 0.0030 g L⁻¹ H₃BO₃, 0.0025 g L⁻¹ $Na₂MoO₄ * 2 H₂O$ (sterile filtered). For shake flask main cultures, 5 $g L^{-1}$ of sodium acetate was added as carbon source, the second bioreactor preculture step was carried out on 10 $g L^{-1}$ glucose, and the bioreactors contained 10 g L^{-1} of sodium acetate for the batch. All LB and base media were supplemented with 50 mg L^{-1} of kanamycin (kan) or 100 mg L^{-1} carbenicillin (carb) as necessary.

The feed medium for the bioreactors was comprised of 150 g L⁻¹ sodium acetate, 12.45 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄ ^{*} 7H₂O, 0.025 g L⁻¹ Fe(III)citrate, 0.0081 g L⁻¹ EDTA, 0.01 g L⁻¹ Zn(CH₃COO)₂ * 2 H₂O, 0.0025 g L⁻¹ CoCl₂ * 6 H₂O (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), 0.015 g L⁻¹ MnCl₂ ^{*} 4 H₂O, 0.0012 g L⁻¹ CuCl₂ ^{*} 2 H₂O, 0.0030 g L⁻¹ H₃BO₃, 0.0025 g L⁻¹ Na₂MoO₄ * 2 H₂O.

Plasmid construction

Golden MOCS [[28\]](#page-18-6) based on Golden Gate cloning [[29,](#page-18-7) [30](#page-18-8)] was used to assemble a plasmid containing the genes for the isopropanol production pathway. The genes for thiolase (*thl* from *Clostridium acetobutylicum*), acetoacetate decarboxylase (*adc* from *C. acetobutylicum*), NADPH-dependent secondary alcohol dehydrogenase (*adh* from *Clostridium beijerinckii*),

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 1 *E. coli* central carbon metabolism engineered for isopropanol production on acetate. *AckA* acetate kinase, *Pta* phosphate acetyltransferase, *Acs* acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase, *GltA* citrate synthase, *AcnA/AcnB* aconitate hydratase A/B, *ICDH* isocitrate dehydrogenase, *SucA* 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase E1 component, *SucB* dihydrolipoyllysine-residue succinyltransferase component of 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase complex, *SucC* succinate–CoA ligase [ADP-forming] subunit beta, *SucD* succinate-CoA ligase [ADP-forming] subunit alpha, *SdhCDAB* succinate dehydrogenase, *FumABCDE* fumarate hydratase, *Mdh* malate dehydrogenase, *AceA* isocitrate lyase, *AceB* malate synthase, *MaeA* NAD-dependent malic enzyme, *MaeB* NADP-dependent malic enzyme, *Pck* Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, Ppc phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase, *PykA* pyruvate kinase II, *PykF* pyruvate kinase I, PDH pyruvate dehydrogenase, *PoxB* pyruvate dehydrogenase (ubiquinone), *Thl* thiolase, *AtoDA* acetate CoA-transferase, *Adc* acetoacetate decarboxylase, *Adh(P)* NADPH-dependent secondary alcohol dehydrogenase

and NADH-dependent secondary alcohol dehydrogenase (*adh1* from *Gordonia* sp. TY-5) were codon-optimized and ordered as gBlocks® from Integrated DNA Technologies (IA, USA), while *atoDA* was PCR amplifed from *E. coli* MG1655 via Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, MA, USA) using the primers listed in Table S3 (Additional file [1\)](#page-17-11). All primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IA, USA). Complete sequences of the codon-optimized genes are listed in Additional fle [1](#page-17-11).

Pathway assembly was performed as described previously using promoters BBa_J23114 (p114) or BBa_J23105 (p105) from the Anderson constitutive promoter library and BBa B1001 as terminator $[29, 30]$ $[29, 30]$ $[29, 30]$ $[29, 30]$. The correct integration into backbone 1 (BB1) was confrmed via Sanger sequencing. The correct assembly of the final constructs was verified via restriction digests. The plasmids with the completed pathway are listed in Table S2 (Additional fle [1](#page-17-11)) and were transformed into *E. coli* W, *E. coli* W KO2 and *E. coli* W KO4 via electroporation. Frozen stocks of the strains were kept at $-$ 80 °C in 20 % (w/v) glycerol. Depictions of the fnal four pathways on the plasmids are shown in Fig. S1 (Additional file [1](#page-17-11)).

Preculture preparation

From the frozen stocks, cells were streaked onto LBkanamycin plates and grown overnight at 37 °C. For the biological replicates, several single colonies were picked and used to inoculate 50 mL of LB in 500 mL shake fasks cultivated for approximately 8 h at 37 °C and 230 rpm.

For bioreactor cultivations, a second preculture step was carried out. Therefore, 200 mL of base medium in a 2 L ultra-high yield flask containing 10 g L^{-1} glucose was inoculated to 0.5 optical density at 600 nm OD_{600} and the cells were kept at 37 °C and 230 rpm for 16 h. In this step, glucose was used as a carbon source to provide enough biomass for bioreactor cultivations in less than 24 h.

Afterward, the cells were transferred to sterile centrifuge beakers and centrifuged at 4000*g* for 5 min at 20 °C. The cells were washed with half the preculture volume of sterile 0.9% NaCl and centrifuged again. The supernatant was replaced by 5 mL (for shake fask experiments) or 10 mL (for bioreactor cultivations) of sterile 0.9 % (w/v) NaCl and the cells were resuspended. After verifying OD_{600} , the shake flask main cultures or bioreactors were inoculated to an optical density of 0.5.

Shake fask experiments

After inoculation, biological triplicates of each tested condition grew at 25 °C and 230 rpm for 72 h. Samples were taken every 24 h to determine optical density and quantify metabolites via HPLC measurements.

Bioreactor cultivations

Bioreactor cultivations were carried out in four parallel DASGIP® Benchtop Bioreactors for Microbiology (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) with 1 L working volume. The gassing rate with pressurized air was set to

30 L h^{-1} (0.5 vvm), while the temperature was kept at 25 °C or 37 °C. The pH was monitored by an EasyFerm Plus PHI K8 225 (Hamilton, Reno, NV, USA) electrode and maintained at 7 by adding 2 M KOH (to avoid introducing additional nitrogen) or 5 M H_3PO_4 via a MP8 multi-pump module (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). Dissolved oxygen concentration was measured with a VisiFerm DO ECS 225 H2 probe (Hamilton, Reno, NV, USA) and kept above 30% by increasing stirrer speed (up to 1000 rpm) and increasing O_2 -concentration in the in-gas flow. Bioreactor off gas was led through three consecutive wash bottles filled with 400 mL $dH₂O$ on ice before being analyzed for O_2 and CO_2 by a GA4 gas analyzer module (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). To avoid carbon depletion, an acetate feed was applied. The feed rate was adjusted manually to keep the acetate concentration between 2 and 8 $g L^{-1}$.

Samples were taken regularly from the reactors and all wash fasks. Biomass formation was estimated by measuring OD_{600} in a spectrophotometer (Onda Spectrophotometer V-10 Plus, Giorgio Bormac s.r.l, Carpi (MO), Italy). After centrifugation of the cultivation broth (21,913 g, 10 min, 4 $^{\circ}$ C), concentrations of acetate and $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ in the supernatant were determined with a Cedex Bio HT Analyzer (Roche, Switzerland) to adjust the feed rate and determine the start of the nitrogen-starvation phase. To enable accurate further calculations, concentrations of acetate, lactate, pyruvate, formate, succinate, ethanol, acetone, and isopropanol were determined via HPLC measurement in the supernatant. To enable comparison, product titers are given as $g L^{-1}$ or mmol L^{-1} bioreactor volume although a percentage of product was found in the wash fasks.

Biomass determination

Dry cell weight (DCW) was measured gravimetrically at the end of each cultivation in triplicates. 5 mL of sample was centrifuged at 4000*g* for 10 min at 4 °C in preweighed glass tubes. Afterward, the cells were washed with 5 mL of filtered 0.9% NaCl. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellets were dried at 110 °C for 72 h. A correlation between OD_{600} and biomass concentration was established to calculate the biomass for each sample point.

HPLC analysis

Alcohols and organic acids were determined on an Aminex HPX-87H column (300×7.8 mm, Bio-Rad, Hercules/CA, USA) in a Vanquish™ Core HPLC System (Thermo Scientific, Waltham/MA, USA). The mobile phase was 4 mM H₂SO₄, the flow rate set to 0.6 mL min⁻¹ with a method run at 60 °C for 30 min.

Metabolites were detected via a refractive index detector (ERC RefractoMax 520, Thermo Scientific, Waltham/ MA, USA) and an UV detector (Vanquish™ Variable Wavelength Detector Vanquish VWD-C, Thermo Scientifc, Waltham/MA, USA) at 280 nm (acetone and pyruvate).

450 μ L of sample was mixed with 50 μ L of 40 mM H_2SO_4 and centrifuged at 21,913 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for analysis. Standards were treated the same as the samples and a 6-point calibration curve was used for quantification between 0.05 and 10 $g L^{-1}$ using an injection volume of $10 \mu L$ for all samples.

Proteomics sampling

For shotgun proteomics and acetylated proteomics, biological quadruplicates of the respective bioreactor cultivations were performed. Samples containing approximately 0.0015 g (for shotgun proteomics) and 0.01 g (for acetylated proteomics) biomass were taken and immediately centrifuged at 21,913 g at 4 °C. The supernatant was carefully removed, and the pellets were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen cells were stored at −80 °C until further analysis.

Proteomics sample preparation

Shotgun proteomics

Samples were lysed by sonication in $150-300 \mu L$ of lysis bufer (10 mM Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP), 40 mM chloroacetamide (CAA), 1% sodium dodecylsulfate, 100 mM Tris ($pH=8.5$)) and 80 μ g of protein was acetone precipitated overnight. After centrifugation, the resulting protein pellets were dissolved in 12.5% trifluoroethanol/Tris (TFE; pH=8.5), diluted to 5% TFE and digested overnight with trypsin (1:50=enzyme:protein ratio). Upon desalt, 300 ng of each sample was used for LC–MS/MS analysis.

Acetylated peptides

Bacterial pellets were lysed in 2 mL of the cold lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES ($pH=8$), 7 M guanidine hydrochloride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate), and the lysates were cleared by centrifugation (20,000*g* for 15 min at 4 °C). Following protein estimation, 3.5 mg of proteins was reduced and alkylated using 10 mM TCEP and 40 mM CAA, respectively), then digested overnight with trypsin (1:20=enzyme:protein ratio). Digests were purifed on C18 Sep-Pak cartridges (Waters) according to the manufacturer's instruction, prior to being subjected to immunoaffinity purification (IAP) of Ac-K peptides with PTM Scan Acetyl-Lysine Motif [Ac-K] Kit (Cell Signaling) following the supplemented protocol. Briefy, peptides were resuspended in 400 µL IAP bufer, cleared by centrifugation, then incubated with the antibody beads on an over-head rotator for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were collected by centrifugation, washed, and the peptides were eluted using $100 \mu L$ of 0.15% TFA. Lastly, acetylated peptides were desalted using in-house-made C18 stage tips (Empore) and, upon desalt, resuspended in 10 μ L of mobile phase A. $4 \mu L$ of the sample was used for injection and consequent LC–MS/MS analysis.

Proteomics LC–MS/MS analysis

Chromatography was carried out on an Ultimate 3000 RCS Nano Dionex system equipped with an Ionopticks Aurora Series UHPLC C18 column (250 mm×75 µm, 1.6 µm) (Ionopticks). Solvent A was 0.1% formic acid in water and solvent B acetonitrile/0.1 % formic acid. Total LC–MS/MS run per sample was 86.5 min with the following gradient: 0–5.5 min: 2 % B; 5.5–25.5 min: 2–10 % B; 25.5–45.5 min: 10–25 % B, 45.5–55.5 min: 25–37 % B, 55.5–85.5 min: 37–80 % B; 65.5–75.5 min: 80 % B; 75.5– 76.5 min: 80–2 % B; 76.5–86.5 min: 2 % B at a fow rate of 400 nL min⁻¹ and 40 °C. The timsTOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) was operated in positive mode with enabled trapped Ion Mobility Spectrometry (TIMS) at 100 % duty cycle (100 ms ramp and accumulation time). Source capillary voltage was set to 1400 V and dry gas fow to 3 L/min at 180 °C. Scan mode was set to parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation (PASEF) for the scan range of 100–1700 m/z. Precursor selection was based on their intensity (data-dependent acquisition) and the precursors were allowed to accumulate for a total of four ramps per PASEF cycle, bringing the total cycle time to 0.53 s.

Proteomics data processing

Shotgun proteomics Raw data including protein annotation and database search were carried out in Max-Quant (version 2.0.3.0) [\[31,](#page-18-9) [32](#page-18-10)]. *E. coli* strain W fasta fle obtained from the predicted protein NCBI database (txid: 566,546, 4660 entries, downloaded on 2022/06/13) was modifed to include sequences for AtoA (atoD_ECO_ MG1655), AtoD (atoD_ECO_MG1655), Adh_CBE and Adc_CAB_ATCC824_DSM79, and used for the database search. Methionine oxidation was set as variable and carbamidomethylation as fxed modifcation and up to two miss-cleavages were allowed for trypsin digestion. False discovery rate (FDR) for peptide spectral matching as well as for peptide and protein matching was set to 1%. Match between runs was enabled and the label-free protein quantitation was carried out through maxLFQ algorithm. The obtained list of quantified proteins was then imported into Perseus (v1.6.14.0) [[33](#page-18-11)], log-transformed, and grouped per sample group ($(25 \text{ or } 37 \text{ °C})$ and time point of sample collection). Grouped samples were then

pairwise fltered to have at least three valid values in at least one group and the missing values were imputed from the normal distribution prior to statistical analysis. This reduced the sample matrix from 2680 entries to 2062– 2410 entries on which Student t-tests were carried out with the following cut-off: FDR corrected *p*-value <0.05 and S0 0.1. In some cases, non-FDR corrected signifcant hits were considered.

When comparing within one cultivation temperature, the frst sample point (early growth condition) taken at an OD_{600} of about 1 served as a reference condition. In comparisons between temperatures, equivalent sample times were compared with the data from 25 °C serving as a reference. For both approaches, all identifed proteins of the TCA cycle or with a signifcant log2 fold change of±2 in at least one of the scenarios were considered for further analysis. These proteins were then grouped according to their metabolic function. The most significant groups for within- and between-temperature comparisons are shown in Figs. [4,](#page-10-0) [5,](#page-11-0) while the full versions of within- and between-temperature comparisons can be found in Figs. S5–S20 in Additional fle [1](#page-17-11).

Acetylation proteomics Protein annotation and database search were carried out in Frag Pipe (version 19.0; MS Fragger version 3.7) [[34](#page-18-12)] with an *E. coli* SwissProt fasta fle containing 4357 inputs (downloaded on 25.01.2023). Specifed protein modifcations for the search were: methionine oxidation, N-terminal, and lysin acetylation as variable modifcations and carbamidomethylation as fixed. The resulting modified peptide list $(27,463$ peptides) was then loaded in Perseus $(v1.6.14.0)$ $[33]$ $[33]$, $log2$ transformed and fltered to keep only acetylated peptides (9584 entries). The samples were again grouped and pairwise fltered to keep only those peptides with at least three reported valid values in at least one of the groups. This reduced the matrix to 1278–3010 acetylated peptides on which Student t-tests were carried out as aforementioned.

Due to the varying efficiency of sample preparation, it was not possible to compare individual sample points reliably. Instead, we compared the general acetylation of peptides in samples from 25 °C cultivations against the general acetylation in samples from 37 °C cultivations (volcano plot and principal component analysis plot available in Fig. S21 and Fig. S22 in Additional fle [1\)](#page-17-11). For further analysis, proteins with *p*-value signifcant peptides were grouped according to their general metabolic function and whether they exhibited more acetylation at 25 °C or 37 °C (details can be found in Additional fle [2](#page-17-12)). Where the same peptide was detected multiple times, recalculation of the log2 fold change was done from the median intensities of all its detections. For both shotgun proteomics and acetylation proteomics, datasets including the raw data, search parameters as well identifcation/quantitation output were uploaded to the ProteomeXchange Consortium ([http://proteomecentral.](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) [proteomexchange.org\)](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository [[35\]](#page-18-13) with the dataset identifier PXD047939.

Metabolic fux estimation

To estimate fux distributions in the central carbon metabolism of *E. coli*, CNApy (Version: 1.1.8; [\[36](#page-18-14)]) was used with the core model ECC2comp [[37\]](#page-18-15) and the genome-scale model iJO1366 [\[38\]](#page-18-16), respectively, where the reactions for isopropanol formation were added. Parsimonious Flux Balance Analysis [\[39](#page-18-17)], in short pFBA, was carried out with the objective function to maximize the non-growth-associated ATP maintenance energy (ATPM reaction flux). This is a reasonable objective function if the growth rate has been measured (and can, thus, not be optimized). Using pFBA ensures that this objective is maximized under the secondary objective to achieve this maximum with a minimal sum of absolute fuxes in the network to roughly reflect efficient enzyme usage by the cell. The specific rates for growth, acetate uptake, oxygen uptake, $CO₂$, acetone, and isopropanol formation (obtained as means of the biological quadruplicates of the bioreactor cultivations) were used as given (fxed) fux values for the pFBA. To enable modeling of intracellular fuxes in an acetate-based cultivation scenario, other carbon source uptakes (e.g., glucose) were deactivated during model simulations. Additionally, known futile cycles were disabled by setting certain fuxes to zero, which included acetate export, ubiquinone pyruvate dehydrogenase (PoxB), succinate transmembrane transport, and fumarate reductase (Frd). In a similar manner, fuxes of export reactions of side products which were not detected in the supernatant were assumed to be zero $(H₂, lactate, formate, and ethanol).$

The feasibility of the flux scenarios was assessed with a demanded minimal ATPM reaction fux of 3.15 mmol gDW⁻¹ h⁻¹. If a given set of fixed fluxes led to an infeasible pFBA scenario, CNApy's feasibility algorithm was used to perform minor adjustments to the input rates using a linear program for minimizing the sum of deviations from the given fluxes $[40]$ $[40]$. pFBA was then performed with the set of feasibility-corrected fux values. In most cases, the feasibility-corrected values were within the standard deviation of the original input values. A complete list of the resulting fux scenarios is denoted in an additional fle (Additional fle [3](#page-17-13)). A visual representation of a relevant fux scenario in the ECC-2comp model computed with CNApy can be found in Fig. S23 in Additional fle [1.](#page-17-11)

Results and discussion

Initial strain design and limitations

To enable isopropanol production on acetate, we opted for a plasmid-based expression of the isopropanol pathway. We made use of constitutive promoters of varying strength to be independent from expensive inducers and to better control pathway expression. To that end, individual expression cassettes for each step of the pathway were combined. Additionally, two versions of the pathway were constructed that difered in the cofactor (NADPH or NADH) for the fnal reduction step from acetone to isopropanol. To that end, the NADH-dependent *adh1* from *Gordonia* sp. TY-5 instead of the *adh* from *Clostridium beijerinckii* was used. We reasoned that the higher availability of NADH compared to NADPH (2 instead of 1 mol are generated in the TCA cycle per acetate utilized) might enable a more efficient conversion of acetone into isopropanol.

As host strains, we chose *E. coli* W for its acetate tolerance as well as *E. coli* W KO2 (Δ*adhE* Δ*ldhA*) and *E. coli* W KO4 (Δ*adhE* Δ*ldhA* Δ*pta* Δ*frdA*) because we could previously show that deletions in mixed acid fermentation pathways are benefcial for aerobic acetate-based 2,3-butanediol production [[5\]](#page-17-10).

Strain characterization

To assess isopropanol production and determine the efects of the diferent constructs we conducted screening experiments in shake fasks. A temperature of 25 °C was chosen to avoid excessive product loss via evaporation. Titers and yields are summarized in Fig. [2](#page-7-0). More detailed data of the shake fask experiments can be found in Figs. S2–4 (Additional fle [1](#page-17-11)).

All tested strains were able to produce acetone to some extent, with the wild-type strain background reaching about 2–3 mmol L^{-1} of acetone, the KO4 strains ranging between 1 and 2 mmol L^{-1} of acetone and the KO2 strains varying from 0.2 to 4 mmol L^{-1} . Both wild-type strains carrying the NADPH-dependent pathway as well as *E. coli* WKO2 P_p114 produced signifcant amounts of isopropanol, whereas no isopropanol was formed when the pathways were tested in the KO4 knock-out strain. Although deletions in mixed acid fermentation pathways were benefcial for 2,3-butanediol production from acetate [[5\]](#page-17-10), the knock-out strain backgrounds had a negative effect on isopropanol formation. The reason for this diference might be the diferent branching points of the pathways for 2,3-butanediol (pyruvate) and isopropanol (acetyl-CoA).

Isopropanol formation was also absent in strains containing the NADH-dependent version of the pathway. Consequently, we selected *E. coli* W P_p105 as the best isopropanol-producing strain for further characterization as it showed the highest isopropanol titer and yield per acetate as well as a high isopropanol:acetone ratio (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0).

We note that the cells showed growth-decoupled product formation as isopropanol synthesis was most efficient during an initial 24-h lag phase on acetate and significantly decreased during growth. This fact was

Fig. 2 Summary of the strain screening results of the isopropanol strains. Bars and error bars denote means obtained from biological triplicates and their standard deviation. Strains: W=*E. coli* W, WKO2=*E. coli* W KO2 (see "[Bacterial strains and](#page-2-1) media" section), WKO4=*E. coli* W KO4 (see "[Bacterial](#page-2-1) [strains and](#page-2-1) media" section). H=construct containing NADH-dependent *adh1* from *Gordonia* sp. TY-5, P=construct containing NADPH-dependent *adh* from *C. beijerinckii*. Promoters: BBa_J23114 from Anderson promoter library=p114; BBa_J105 from Anderson promoter library=p105. **a** Product titers and optical density at the end of the screening cultivations. **b** Yields of acetone and isopropanol per acetate for each strain

subsequently taken into account when designing a suitable bioprocessing strategy.

Process design

To realize a growth-decoupled production process, which appeared to be a prerequisite for efficient isopropanol production, we tested limiting the cells' carbon and nitrogen supply. A carbon limitation proved unsuitable, because the cells heavily favored growth over product formation under carbon limiting conditions (Fig. S24 in Additional fle [1\)](#page-17-11). Instead, keeping the acetate concentration between 30 and 170 mmol L^{-1} seemed best to enable isopropanol production. Nitrogen limitation in contrast proved to be a more suitable alternative. Although preliminary experiments using a nitrogen limiting feed did not support isopropanol formation but led to increased biomass formation (Fig. S25 in Additional fle [1](#page-17-11)), a complete N-starvation phase after growth was able to successfully trigger product formation.

The cultivation temperature was identified as another major infuence factor for the process design. We reasoned that lower temperatures might mitigate stress caused by acetate and product formation, whereas higher temperatures could enable faster processes by accelerating growth and metabolism. Consequently, the fnal process encompassed an N-excess phase for growth and an N-starvation phase for production with a surplus of acetate either operated at 25 °C or 37 °C.

Physiological characterization at diferent temperatures in bioreactors

For the growth phase of the process, we used 1 $g L^{-1}$ (NH_4) ₂HPO₄ as nitrogen source which we expected to yield a final biomass concentration of about 2 g $\mathrm{L}^{-1}.$ The initial acetate concentration was set to~175 mmol L^{-1} and maintained above 30 mmol L^{-1} by continuous feeding (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)a, b).

After a lag phase of 15–25 h, the cells grew up to 2 g L⁻¹ of biomass as long as nitrogen was available (Fig. [3a](#page-8-0), b). Following the depletion of NH_4^+ isopropanol and acetone were formed. After 30–35 h of N-starvation, titers of 80.7 ± 2.2 mmol L^{-1} (4.85 ± 0.13 g L^{-1}) isopropanol after 75 h at 25 °C and 98.1 ± 2.4 mmol L⁻¹ (5.90 ± 0.14 g L⁻¹) isopropanol after 70 h at 37 °C were observed. It is

important to note that only about 60–70% of the overall isopropanol was found in the reactor while the remaining 30–40 % were stripped from the reactor.

Despite a higher titer and shorter lag phase at 37 °C, we found that our process strategy for growth-decoupled production using N-starvation worked better at 25 °C. At low temperature and excess NH_4^+ available, the cells favored growth and only produced marginal amounts of isopropanol and acetone. N-starvation triggered a total growth stop and an increase of the specifc isopropanol formation rate, and most of the isopropanol was produced in the N-starvation phase (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)a).

At 37 °C, growth slowed around 30 h already, and production started early while excess nitrogen was still present (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)b, c and e) and approximately 50% of product originated from the N-excess phase. The stunted growth was accompanied by a signifcant rise in the specifc acetate uptake rate, which made it necessary to add an acetate feed already during the N-excess phase to avoid acetate depletion (Fig. [3d](#page-8-0)). Nevertheless, subsequent nitrogen depletion also led to a complete growth stop and isopropanol production continued during the N-starvation phase. Regardless of temperature, N-starvation caused a signifcant drop in the specifc acetate uptake which also negatively afected product formation rates. In further experiments, we attempted to mitigate this efect by resupplying small amounts of nitrogen for the cells to recover their metabolic activity (Fig. S26 in Additional fle [1\)](#page-17-11). However, adding nitrogen after about 45 h of starvation did not reinitiate growth or boost acetate uptake.

Toward the end of the processes, the product ratio shifted from isopropanol toward acetone, potentially due to insufficient NADPH supply for acetone conversion.

Comparing the overall process performance between 25 \degree C and 37 \degree C, carbon is more efficiently used at lower temperatures. At 25 °C, the cells exhibited a higher biomass and isopropanol yield and produced less $CO₂$ compared to 37 °C (Table [1\)](#page-10-1). With more biomass (~6.5 g L^{-1} biomass obtained from 4 g L^{-1} (NH_4) ₂HPO₄), the titer of the 25 °C-process could even be raised to 134 mmol L⁻¹ (8.07 g L⁻¹) isopropanol after 30 h of N-starvation, which corresponds to an increase of 66 % compared to the low-biomass process. After 115 h of N-starvation phase with ~6.5 $g L^{-1}$ biomass

⁽See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 3 Physiological data gained from cultivation of *E. coli* IPA_P_p105 at 25 °C and 37 °C. Error bars show the standard deviation of 4 independent biological quadruplicates (or duplicates in fgure g). **a** Concentration profles of acetate, isopropanol, acetone and biomass at 25 °C. Black arrows denote -omics sampling. **b** Concentration profles of acetate, isopropanol, acetone and biomass at 37 °C. Black arrows denote -omics sampling. **c** Specific growth rates (µ) at 25 °C and 37 °C. **d** Specific acetate uptake (q_{s,ace}) at 25 °C and 37 °C. **e** Specific isopropanol production rates (q_{p,ipa}) at 25 °C and 37 °C. **f** Specific acetone production rates (q_{p,aco}) at 25 °C and 37 °C. **g** Concentration profiles of acetate, isopropanol, acetone and biomass in a high-density 2-stage bioprocess with extended N-starvation phase

Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)

Phase	$\mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{BM/ACE}}\mathsf{[g\,g^{-1}]}.$	$Y_{IPA/ACE}$ [mol mol ⁻¹]	$Y_{ACO/ACE}$ [mol mol ⁻¹]	$Y_{CO2/ACE}$ [mol mol $^{-1}$]
Growth 25 $^{\circ}$ C	$0.221 + 0.011$	$0.148 + 0.010$	$0.027 + 0.005$	$0.828 + 0.020$
Growth 37 $°C$	$0.087 + 0.005$	$0.189 + 0.006$	$0.051 + 0.005$	$0.943 + 0.049$
Production 25 °C	n. a.	$0.262 + 0.017$	$0.045 + 0.011$	$0.958 + 0.058$
Production 37 °C	n. a.	$0.187 + 0.009$	$0.092 + 0.006$	$1.088 + 0.056$
Overall 25° C	$0.088 + 0.014$	$0.235 + 0.030$	$0.041 + 0.006$	$0.908 + 0.017$
Overall 37 °C	$0.043 + 0.001$	$0.188 + 0.005$	$0.068 + 0.003$	$1.003 + 0.050$

Table 1 Yields (biomass (BM), isopropanol (IPA), acetone (ACO), and CO₂ per acetate (ACE)) from low-density biological quadruplicates

221 mmol L^{-1} (13.3 g L^{-1} = 274 % of the low-biomass process) isopropanol were achieved (Fig. [3](#page-8-0) g).

Proteome analysis

The isopropanol yield after 30 h of N-starvation in the low-biomass process at 25 °C amounted to 0.262 mol mol−¹ , which corresponds to about 75 % of the energy-balanced theoretical yield $(0.35 \text{ mol mol}^{-1})$ [[9](#page-17-14)].

While a higher temperature accelerated the process and increased the isopropanol titer, it also rendered the process less predictable and less carbon efficient (Table [1\)](#page-10-1). Consequently, only 54% of the energy-balanced theoretical yield were achieved at 37 °C.

Next, we analyzed the proteome to better understand cell physiology and metabolic behavior of the diferent production scenarios, both during the process as well as inbetween processes. To that end, we investigated behavior during growth (N-excess) as well as during the early and late production phases (N-starvation) at 25 and 37 °C (Figs. [4,](#page-10-0) [5\)](#page-11-0). Using the data from the shotgun proteomics analysis, we compared relative changes between samples.

The TCA cycle and the anaplerotic glyoxylate shunt are central metabolic pathways with high importance for providing ATP, NADPH and precursors for growth when acetate serves as the sole carbon and energy source.

Fig. 4 Within-temperature log2 fold changes of proteins. Proteins are grouped according to their function in the metabolism and results are given relative to the frst -omics sample of the respective temperature. "n.s." ("not signifcant") indicates a non-signifcant fold change. Gray tiles denote that the protein was not detected in the sample. **a** Fold changes of the detected proteins assigned to the TCA cycle, the glyoxylate metabolism and the methyl-TCA cycle. **b** Fold changes of proteins assigned to nitrogen metabolism. **c** Fold changes of proteins assigned to stress-related processes. **d** Fold changes of proteins assigned to cofactor formation and sulfur metabolism

Fig. 5 Across-temperature log2 fold changes of proteins. Proteins are grouped according to their function in the metabolism. For every compared phase between the diferent temperature processes the 25 °C sample was used as a reference (therefore red and blue color indicates a higher abundance at 25 °C and 37 °C, respectively). "n.s." ("not signifcant") indicates a non-signifcant fold change. Gray tiles denote that the protein was not detected in the compared sample. **a** Fold changes of the detected proteins assigned to the TCA cycle, the glyoxylate metabolism and the methyl-TCA cycle. **b** Fold changes of proteins assigned to nitrogen metabolism. **c** Fold changes of proteins assigned to stress-related processes. **d** Fold changes of proteins assigned to cofactor formation and sulfur metabolism

However, on a proteome level, the TCA cycle seemed to be very stable as no signifcant diferences in protein abundance were observed. One notable exception is the increase of enzymes catalyzing reactions around tartronate semialdehyde, a pathway branching from glyoxylate (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)a; glyoxylate carboligase–Gcl, hydroxypyruvate isomerase–Hyi, 2-hydroxy-3-oxopropionate reductase–GlxR and glycerate-3-kinase–GlxK). However, subsequent metabolic modeling of the data with the genome-scale model iJO1366 [\[38](#page-18-16)] revealed that even higher relative changes of fuxes in these reactions do not have a signifcant impact on central metabolic fuxes (data not shown).

Interestingly, the enzymes of the product pathway also did not show any signifcant change in abundance over time and the diferent conditions (Fig. S8 and Fig. S16 in Additional fle [1](#page-17-11)).

Next, we analyzed the proteome related to nitrogen metabolism, where we expected to see a clear response to starvation. Our data confrmed that regulatory proteins like GlnK (nitrogen regulatory protein GlnK), GlnG (DNA-binding transcriptional regulator NtrC) and Nac (nitrogen assimilation regulatory protein nac) were upregulated relative to early growth conditions. Enzymes responsible for procuring nitrogen via degradation (e.g., AST-pathway enzymes for arginine) were also substantially more abundant.

On the other hand, the presence of enzymes related to amino acid formation (especially methionine) declined signifcantly during N-starvation (e.g., transcriptional regulator MetR, cystathionine beta-lyase MetC). As a result, replacing damaged proteins might become increasingly difficult for cells, which is also reflected in the reduced overall metabolic activity during prolonged N-starvation.

In addition to a direct response to N-starvation, we also observed a response in proteins related to stress. The proteins with the highest relative fold changes in the N-starvation phase were the acid stress chaperones HdeA and HdeB (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)c). It is, therefore, likely that N-starvation exacerbates acetate toxicity to which the cells react by producing larger amounts of chaperones to maintain homeostasis. Apart from general stress regulators like the RNA polymerase sigma factor (RpoS) and a DNAbinding transcriptional regulator (BolA), other upregulated proteins in the N-starvation phase were associated with osmotic stress (OsmB—osmotically inducible lipoprotein B), thiol-specifc stress (AzoR—FMN-dependent NADH:quinone oxidoreductase), DNA/RNA-repair (RtcB—RNA-splicing ligase RtcB) and aldehyde stress

(PaoB—Aldehyde oxidoreductase FAD-binding subunit). Presumably, the absence of nitrogen in addition to the presence of acetate causes a complex stress profle for the cells that requires diferent responses.

Beyond nitrogen metabolism and stress response, the N-starvation had considerable infuence on enzymes involved in cofactor synthesis. While less pronounced at 25 °C, enzymes for biotin and thiamine biosynthesis experienced a notable downregulation (Fig. [4d](#page-10-0)). The lack of such essential cofactors may also play into the cells' reduced metabolic activity toward the end of the process.

Additionally, all three systems for sulfur uptake were downregulated: the Ssu-transporter system, the sulfate assimilating enzymes CysA, CysD and CysN and the alkanesulfonate transporter proteins TauA and TauD. *E. coli* usually takes up sulfate via the CysAWTP complex, while the *tauABCD* and *ssuEADCB* genes are only expressed when the cells are starved for sulfate [[41,](#page-18-19) [42](#page-18-20)]. However, a signifcant surplus of sulfur was present in the cultivation medium. We, therefore, hypothesize that sulfur uptake may be impaired due to the relatively high ATP demand for the transport or a decreased demand for sulfur due to the N-starvation prohibiting growth and decreasing general metabolic activity. Since sulfur is also needed for thiamine biosynthesis, an inhibited sulfur assimilation may be linked to the reduced thiamine formation.

Proteome acetylation analysis

Acetylation is usually linked with changing substrate- or cofactor-affinity of enzymes and with regulatory mechanisms potentially exerting major infuence on the central carbon metabolism. It can occur non-enzymatically, in which case acetyl-phosphate acts as the main acetyldonor [[43–](#page-18-21)[45](#page-18-22)]. Since acetyl-phosphate is a key intermediate in acetate uptake, it plays a signifcant role in acetate-grown cells and acetate-based isopropanol production [[46\]](#page-18-23).

Comparing our processes at diferent temperatures, signifcantly more acetylated proteins seemed to be more prevalent at 37 °C (165 peptides, 56 proteins) than at 25 °C (21 peptides, 12 proteins) with a considerable number of them found either in the TCA cycle, associated with glyoxylate metabolism, glycolysis or lipid metabolism. However, the three most relevant targets in the context of isopropanol production are: (1) GltA, the entry point to the TCA cycle and a direct competitor of the product pathway for carbon fux, (2) ICDH, the main source of NADPH required for acetone to isopropanol reduction, and (3) isocitrate lyase (AceA), the entry point to the glyoxylate shunt which regulates cell growth.

We did not detect any diferential acetylation for AceA. For GltA and ICDH, there are two known acetylation sites each which change enzyme activity: K283 (increase) and K295 (decrease) for GltA and K55 (increase) and K350 (increase) for ICDH [\[46](#page-18-23), [47\]](#page-18-24). Of these sites, we found K55 in ICDH signifcantly more acetylated at 37 °C, which implies a higher activity potentially resulting in a higher NADPH availability per acetate. Other identifed acetylation sites in GltA and ICDH seem to be located more toward the protein surface, away from active sites.

Nonetheless, increased acetylation at 37 °C in general might be linked to elevated stress levels. Since we also found a signifcant number of more acetylated proteins associated with stress response or refolding, this might point to less efficient stress mitigation at higher temperatures. However, additional work is required to determine the exact efects and underlying regulatory mechanisms.

The potential "motor" of isopropanol formation

To further elucidate the cells' behavior, we used parsimonious Flux Balance Analysis (pFBA; see Methods) and explored the intracellular carbon flow in the central metabolism for both temperatures tested and all sample points (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)a, b). We set the non-growth-associated maintenance energy (ATPM reaction fux) as the primary objective function for optimization in the pFBA simulations (see Methods). ATPM represents a pseudo reaction quantifying the amount of metabolized ATP that is either used in non-growth-associated processes or for growthrelated ATP requirements that were not captured in the biomass pseudo reaction in the stoichiometric model (see below). By summing up the amount of ATP generated by all ATP-producing reactions, we also determined the ATP demand of the cell for each scenario, which includes the ATP needed for ATPM and growth.

The scenarios were generally split into high cellular resource demand conditions in the growth phase and low cellular resource demand conditions in the N-starvation phase. The model predicted that during the high-demand conditions between 40 and 60 % of the total carbon from acetyl-CoA entered the TCA cycle via citrate synthase to produce NADPH (via isocirate dehydrogenase) and ATP (directly via succinyl-CoA ligase and indirectly via NADH used for respiratory ATP synthesis), both needed for growth. Between 5 and 20 % of carbon entered the TCA cycle via the anaplerotic glyoxylate shunt to provide carbon precursors for growth. In contrast, during low-demand conditions, much less acetate is taken up of which only 30–40 % entered the TCA cycle (almost exclusively via citrate synthase) while 60–70 % were used for IPA and ACO synthesis.

In a next step, we defined the Thl:TCA flux ratio, the isopropanol:acetone synthesis ratio (IPA:ACO) and the Tl:ICDH fux ratio as the key nodes which infuence

isopropanol production. The Thl:TCA ratio determines the carbon split between the product pathway and the central carbon metabolism, the IPA:ACO ratio describes the efectiveness of acetone conversion to IPA, and the Thl:ICDH ratio shows the availability of NADPH in relation to the product pathway fux.

First, we wanted to fnd out whether the total ATP demand infuenced the amount of product formed. Therefore, we plotted the Thl:TCA flux distribution against the total amount of ATP produced (ATP demand) and found a negative correlation for both temperatures (Fig. [6](#page-13-0)a, b). At a high ATP demand, acetyl-CoA is mainly (40–60 %) directed toward the TCA cycle via the citrate synthase to fuel ATP (and NAD(P)H) production, while a smaller fraction of about 5–20 % enters the TCA cycle via the glyoxylate shunt needed to fuel carbon precursor pools. This limits the isopropanol pathway fluxes. When the demand of ATP and carbon is low, the fux through the TCA cycle decreases. As a result, carbon is directed toward the isopropanol production pathway (starting at Thl). Our investigation of NADPH supply revealed that for most conditions the amount of NADPH produced by ICDH exceeds the cellular requirements. As a result, surplus NADPH is partially used for IPA synthesis and by the transhydrogenase converting NADPH to NADH. Hence, we consider NADPH, at least stoichiometrically, not limiting for IPA synthesis, while the cellular demand for ATP and carbon precursors negatively afects isopropanol formation.

Interestingly, the shift toward carbon entering the isopropanol pathway at 25 °C occurs within a narrow range of ATPM fluxes (between 5 and 10 mmol g^{-1} h⁻¹) whereas the shift range at 37 °C is wider (ATPM fluxes between 5 and 25 mmol g^{-1} h⁻¹) (Fig. S27, Additional file [1](#page-17-11)). We identified two possible explanations for this behavior. First, ATPM fuxes could be increased at higher temperatures due to elevated stress levels, which we discovered via proteome analysis (e.g., increased chaperone activity; c.f. "[Proteome analysis"](#page-10-2) and "[Pro](#page-12-0)[teome acetylation analysis](#page-12-0)" sections). Second, the actual

Fig. 6 ATP demand and fux ratios at key nodes in the central carbon metabolism. **a** Thl:TCA fux ratio vs. ATP demand at 25 °C. **b** Thl:TCA fux ratio vs. ATP demand at 37 °C. **c** IPA:ACO fux ratio vs Thl:ICDH fux ratio at 25 °C. **d** IPA:ACO fux ratio vs Thl:ICDH fux ratio at 37 °C. A Thl:ICDH fux ratio of 1 represents the theoretical value for a perfect balance between NADPH provided by ICDH and NADPH needed for complete acetone conversion, which is indicated by the gray lines in c and d

growth-dependent ATP demand could be higher than its representation in the metabolic model. We found a correlation between ATPM fuxes and the specifc growth rates for both temperatures (Fig. S27, Additional fle [1](#page-17-11)). At 25 °C, there is only a weak correlation which could be explained as growth-associated processes leading to more stress and non-growth-associated processes (e.g., protein refolding). However, the stronger correlation at 37 °C more likely indicates a certain underrepresentation of growth-associated ATP fuxes.

The delicate balance between NADPH and product formation

The availability of NADPH as the electron donor for the reduction of acetone is another crucial factor for isopropanol formation. Using acetate as the substrate, the main source of NADPH generation is the isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH) reaction with little or no contribution from other reactions such as the pentose phosphate pathway generating NADPH during growth on glucose. Insufficient supply of NADPH can, therefore, lead to a shift from isopropanol to acetone production which we observed during the fermentation upon prolonged exposure of cells to N-starvation. Consequently, we explored NADPH availability as a key parameter for isopropanol selectivity using the IPA:ACO ratio in combination with the Thl:ICDH flux ratio (Fig. $6c$, d). Generally, a Thl:ICDH flux ratio of 1 would theoretically allow for NADPH-balanced isopropanol formation; whereas, a ratio above 1 is expected to shift product formation toward acetone.

For both temperatures, a negative correlation between Thl:ICDH and IPA:ACO can indeed be observed. Consequently, more acetone is produced instead of isopropanol as Thl:ICDH approaches 1 (Fig. $6c$ $6c$, d). On the other hand, how much fux can enter the TCA cycle to fuel ICDH is again also dependent on ATP (and acetyl-CoA) demand for growth. At high-demand conditions during growth, more carbon is directed toward the TCA cycle, providing excess NADPH that can be used for isopropanol formation but at the same time limiting available acetyl-CoA for the product pathway. At low-demand conditions, less carbon enters the TCA cycle. Instead, it is directed toward the isopropanol pathway at the cost of less NADPH being produced via ICDH, which shifts the product balance toward acetone.

Overall, the efect was more pronounced at 37 °C where even at a lower Thl:ICDH ratio production leaned more toward acetone, even though NADPH was not considered stoichiometrically limiting (see "The [potential "motor" of isopropanol formation](#page-12-1)" section). Reactions competing for NADPH such as the transhydrogenase reaction catalyzed by UdhA (soluble pyridine nucleotide transhydrogenase) could explain this behavior. pFBA reveals that at 25 °C about 25 % of NADPH from the ICDH is used by the transhydrogenase, while 45 % of NADPH are diverted at 37 °C. Therefore, even at a Thl:ICDH-flux ratio of about 0.5 mmol mmol⁻¹, the product balance has already shifted toward acetone.

A comparison of the kinetic data of UdhA from *E. coli* (K_M of 68.29 µM for NADPH) and the secondary alcohol dehydrogenase from *C. beijerinckii* (K_M of 22 μM for NADPH) shows that the competition observed in the model data could be attributed to their similar affinities for NADPH [\[48](#page-18-25), [49](#page-18-26)]. Even though there is no conclusive enzymatic data available at 25 °C, the model suggests that competition is less pronounced at lower temperatures.

The two metabolic states of growth‑decoupled isopropanol formation

From the modeling and physiological data, we were able to derive two general metabolic states of *E. coli* in the growth-decoupled isopropanol production process on acetate (Fig. [7](#page-15-0)).

During the N-excess phase, the metabolic fux distribution closely resembles Fig. [7a](#page-15-0), where most acetate is directed toward the TCA cycle and growth with high ATP and carbon precursor demand. This state appears to be relatively stable as long as nitrogen is present, since high ATP demand feeds back to more fux through the TCA cycle. Product formation is generally low despite sufficient NADPH produced by ICDH, because carbon is directed toward the TCA cycle instead.

During N-starvation conditions, the growth stop leads to a lower ATP and carbon precursor demand (Fig. [7](#page-15-0)b) and the fux in the TCA cycle decreases. Since neither carbon nor energy are used for growth, we hypothesize that ATP or/and acetyl-CoA reach high concentrations limiting further acetate uptake. Isopropanol production constitutes a way for the cells to divert some acetyl-CoA away from the TCA cycle, which also reduces the ATP surplus, therefore it is favored under these conditions. Isopropanol can be produced efficiently if the remaining TCA cycle fux provides enough NADPH for the alcohol dehydrogenase, otherwise acetone accumulates instead. Due to the lack of biomass formation, there is no fux through the glyoxylate shunt which further reduces the oxygen demand. Eventually, the prolonged N-starvation leads to a general decrease of metabolic activity because damaged enzymes cannot be replaced and essential vitamin production declines, as underlined by decreasing rates for substrate uptake, product formation and $CO₂$ production.

Fig. 7 Metabolic scenarios of isopropanol-producing cells on acetate. Arrow thickness indicates flux level. Gray arrows denote fluxes that are considered (close to) zero. **a** Growth/high-resource-demand scenario during N-excess: Acetate is predominantly taken up via AckA and Pta and metabolized in the TCA cycle. Biomass formation is preferred while product formation remains low. **b** Production/low-resource-demand scenario during N-starvation: Acetate is taken up via AckA, Pta and AtoDA. A smaller amount of it enters the TCA cycle where the glyoxylate shunt is inactive due to a lack of biomass formation. Instead, acetyl-CoA is directed toward isopropanol formation. The ratio between Thl- and ICDH-fux determines the IPA:ACO ratio

Further metabolic engineering strategies for isopropanol and acetone production

Our investigations of strain requirements, process design and metabolic states fueling isopropanol production show that the main issues lie in the mode of production. Since acetyl-CoA is the branching point of the current heterologous isopropanol pathway, production and growth are bound to compete. Therefore, significant isopropanol formation only occurs in a growth-decoupled process design, where high concentrations of ATP and acetyl-CoA can be expected.

However, we have identifed potential engineering approaches which could beneft such a process design. The first approach is to control ATP availability and demand, which we identifed as the main driving force to direct carbon toward isopropanol formation. When there is no growth, there is a high availability of ATP, which limits substrate uptake in order to avoid fueling the TCA cycle even further. Such behavior has a negative impact on product formation rates. To incentivize the cells to take up more acetate, the ATP surplus could be lowered by introducing an ATP-wasting mechanism [\[50](#page-18-27), [51\]](#page-18-28). This could benefit isopropanol formation, but only if it is tightly controlled: if the resulting ATP turnover is too large, then the TCA cycle fux may increase withdrawing carbon from the product pathway. Hence, ATP wasting should be kept at levels where the resulting Thl:TCA flux ratio reaches the optimal value of 1.

NADPH availability is another target for metabolic engineering, as it has a major impact on the balance between acetone and isopropanol. Our data suggest that the cells produced sufficient NADPH but competing reactions (e.g., soluble transhydrogenase UdhA) might have decreased its availability for product formation. Consequently, limiting reactions competing for NADPH by introducing fux controls could enhance isopropanol formation. Using an NADH-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase in the pathway instead could have similar efects as providing more NADPH, because it would increase availability of the reducing agent (2 NADH per acetate entering the TCA cycle).

Finally, to shift cellular metabolism toward either growth or production, the Thl:TCA flux distribution could also be infuenced by directly engineering either

Process strategy	Medium	Titer [q L^{-1}]	Yield [mol mol $^{-1}$]	Overall q_{p} [g g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹]	Source
Growth decoupled 25 °C, constitutive	Defined	$4.85 + 0.13$	0.235 ± 0.030	0.053 ± 0.005	This study
Growth decoupled 25 °C, constitutive ^a	Defined	$8.07 + 0.13$	$0.166 + 0.003$	$0.029 + 0.002$	This study
Growth decoupled 25 °C, constitutive ^b	Defined	$13.3 + 0.2$	$0.196 + 0.004$	$0.023 + 0.003$	This study
Growth decoupled 37 °C, constitutive	Defined	$5.90 + 0.14$	$0.188 + 0.005$	$0.081 + 0.005$	This study
Batch, inducible	Complex	1.44	0.56	n.a	[22]

Table 2 Comparison of isopropanol production on acetate with previous literature

^a indicates∼6.5 g L⁻¹ biomass for the N-starvation phase after 30 h of starvation

The itself or GltA. On glucose, the latter approach has already been investigated by designing a toggle switch for GltA [\[52](#page-18-29), [53](#page-18-30)]. ^b indicates∼6.5 g L^{−1} biomass for the N-starvation phase after 115 h of starvation

Process design optimization

With our 2-phase process design, we achieved the highest reported isopropanol titers for *E. coli* cultivated on acetate and defned medium to date (Table [2\)](#page-16-0). Likewise, we observed promising yields of 0.235 ± 0.030 mol mol $^{\rm -1}$ and acetone yield of 0.041±0.006 mol mol[−]¹ which constitute 67 % (isopropanol) and 79 % (isopropanol+acetone) of the energy-balanced maximum theoretical yield of 0.35 mol mol⁻¹ of isopropanol per acetate, respectively. The yields obtained in this study are slightly lower compared to previous reports of 0.56 mol mol^{−1}, which even exceeds the non-energy-balanced maximum theoretical yield of 0.50 mol mol^{−1}. We attribute this discrepancy to the use of complex media additives like yeast extract in previous studies. Moving toward industrial-scale production, the use of such additives is economically not feasible. Nevertheless, for large-scale application further yield optimization is necessary.

Due to the inherent need for growth arrest while providing excess carbon, the N-starvation strategy remains a vital component for the process. Therefore, the focus for optimization lies on mitigating negative efects such as elevated stress levels, ensuring efficient carbon use and accelerating the overall process.

One way to achieve lower acetate stress levels is to implement an online acetate monitoring to optimize feeding. Ideally, acetate would still be available in excess, but its levels should be kept as low as possible to avoid toxicity.

Our data also suggest that lower temperatures are suitable measures against increased acetylation and excessive CO_2 -formation. However, low-temperature processes also experienced a signifcantly prolonged initial lag phase, thus lowering the space–time yield from 1.468 ± 0.063 mmol L^{-1} h⁻¹ at 37 °C to 0.989 ± 0.114 mmol L⁻¹ h⁻¹ at 25 °C.

To overcome this challenge, a 2-stage continuous process design with a high-temperature growth reactor and a low-temperature N-starvation reactor could be implemented for efficient isopropanol production.

Conclusions

In this study, we designed and constructed a constitutively expressed pathway for isopropanol formation and established a bioreactor production process on defned medium using acetate as carbon source. To establish knowledge on *E. coli* metabolism in such a scenario, we conducted an in-depth analysis of physiology and the proteome at two diferent temperatures while also modeling intracellular fux distributions.

Our process incorporated the need for a growthdecoupled design by implementing a nitrogenstarvation phase and allowed us to achieve the highest reported isopropanol titer on acetate to date (13.3 g L^{-1})–even with minimal medium without complex additives. Even though we observed only minor changes in abundance of product related enzymes between diferent conditions, we were able to confrm several stress conditions between diferent temperatures in response to N-starvation via proteome analysis. In future processes, mitigating acid stress, acetylation and improving cofactor availability in the N-starvation phase could help to increase cell viability. Examining intracellular fux distributions, we identifed carbon precursor and especially ATP demand as the limiting factor for isopropanol production, which underlines carefully controlled low-demand conditions as most effective for efficient isopropanol formation. Moreover, characterization of the two general metabolic states of the growth-decoupled bioprocess can serve as a vantage point for improving metabolic and process engineering. Based on our fndings, we assessed further optimization strategies to boost isopropanol production, which form a solid foundation for sustainable acetate upgrading and its large-scale implementation.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-024-02534-0) [org/10.1186/s13068-024-02534-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-024-02534-0).

Additional fle 1. Additional information on strains, plasmids and primers, data of screening experiments, detailed proteomics results, additional modeling data.

Additional file 2. (Differentially) acetylated peptides at different temperatures grouped according to metabolic function.

Additional file 3. Comprehensive list of all investigated metabolic flux scenarios including all modeling input- and output data of the ECC2_comp model.

Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank Uliana Smirnova and Dominic Uhlir for their contributions to the bioreactor experiments. We are also grateful to Rémi Hocq, Ivo van den Hurk and Josef Horvath for their excellent assistance with proteomics sampling as well as Daniela Gremel for her excellent technical assistance with preparation of acetylation proteomics samples. The authors acknowledge the TU Wien Bibliothek for fnancial support through its Open Access Funding Program.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, S.P., R.K.; Data curation, R.K., T.T.; Funding acquisition, S.P.; Investigation, R.K., T.T., P.S.B.; Methodology, S.P., R.K., T.T., R.G.B., S.K.; Resources, R.B.G., S.K., S.P.; Software, R.K., P.S.B., S.P., S.K., T.T.; Supervision, S.P.; Visualization, R.K.; Writing—Original Draft, R.K., S.P.; Writing—Review and Editing, all authors.

Funding

Open access funding provided by TU Wien (TUW). This research was funded by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG), grant number 874503 with additional fnancial support from OMV Downstream GmbH, voestalpine Stahl GmbH, and Austrian Airlines AG.

Availability of data and materials

For shotgun proteomics and acetylation proteomics, datasets including the raw data, search parameters as well identifcation/quantitation output was uploaded to the ProteomeXchange Consortium [\(http://proteomecentral.](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) [proteomexchange.org\)](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository [[35](#page-18-13)] with the dataset identifer PXD047939.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Agreed by all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Institute of Chemical, Environmental and Bioscience Engineering, Technische Universität Wien, Gumpendorfer Straße 1a, 1060 Vienna, Austria. ²Christian Doppler Laboratory for Optimized Expression of Carbohydrate‑Active Enzymes, Institute of Chemical, Environmental and Bioscience Engineering, Technische Universität Wien, Gumpendorfer Straße 1a, 1060 Vienna, Austria. 3 ³Institute of Chemical Technologies and Analytics, Technische Universität Wien, Vienna, Austria. ⁴Analysis and Redesign of Biological Networks, Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany.

Received: 29 February 2024 Accepted: 18 June 2024 Published online: 05 August 2024

References

- 1. Cowan AE, Klass SH, Winegar PH, Keasling JD. Microbial production of fuels, commodity chemicals, and materials from sustainable sources of carbon and energy. Curr Opin Syst Bio. 2023;1(36): 100482.
- 2. Gong G, Wu B, Liu L, Li J, Zhu Q, He M, et al. Valorization of waste streams and C1 gases for sustainable food nutrients and value-added compounds production: acetate as a promising intermediate. Sci Total Environ. 2023;1(893): 164795.
- 3. Kim SJ, Yoon J, Im DK, Kim YH, Oh MK. Adaptively evolved *Escherichia coli* for improved ability of formate utilization as a carbon source in sugar-free conditions. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2019;12(1):207.
- 4. Li Y, Cheng Z, Zhao C, Gao C, Song W, Liu L, et al. Reprogramming *Escherichia coli* Metabolism for bioplastics synthesis from waste cook‑ ing oil. ACS Synth Biol. 2021;10(8):1966–79.
- 5. Novak K, Kutscha R, Pfügl S. Microbial upgrading of acetate into 2,3-butanediol and acetoin by *E. coli* W. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2020;13(1):177.
- 6. Torabi H, Mosleh I, Davachi SM, Davaritouchaee M, Abbaspourrad A. Xylose-rich horse manure hydrolysate as the sole carbon source for bacterial production of polyhydroxy butyrate using engineered *Escherichia coli*. ACS Sustainable Chem Eng. 2021;9(27):8946–50.
- 7. Gong G, Wu B, Liu L, Li J, Zhu Q, He M, et al. Metabolic engineering using acetate as a promising building block for the production of biobased chemicals. Engineering Microbiology. 2022;2(4): 100036.
- 8. Kiefer D, Merkel M, Lilge L, Henkel M, Hausmann R. From acetate to bio-based products: underexploited potential for industrial biotechnology. Trends Biotechnol. 2021;39(4):397–411.
- 9. Kutscha R, Pfügl S. Microbial upgrading of acetate into value-added products—examining microbial diversity, bioenergetic constraints and metabolic engineering approaches. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(22):8777.
- 10. Novak K, Pfügl S. Towards biobased industry: acetate as a promising feedstock to enhance the potential of microbial cell factories. FEMS Microbio Lett. 2018;365(20):226.
- 11. Novak K, Neuendorf CS, Kofer I, Kieberger N, Klamt S, Pfügl S. Blending industrial blast furnace gas with H2 enables *Acetobacterium woodii* to efficiently co-utilize CO, CO2 and H2. Biores Technol. 2021;1(323): 124573.
- 12. Ntagia E, Chatzigiannidou I, Carvajal-Arroyo JM, Arends JBA, Rabaey K. Continuous H2/CO2 fermentation for acetic acid production under transient and continuous sulfde inhibition. Chemosphere. 2021;1(285): 131536.
- 13. Roe AJ, O'Byrne C, McLaggan D, Booth IR. Inhibition of *Escherichia coli* growth by acetic acid: a problem with methionine biosynthesis and homocysteine toxicity. Microbiology. 2002;148(7):2215–22.
- 14. Sandoval NR, Mills TY, Zhang M, Gill RT. Elucidating acetate tolerance in *E. coli* using a genome-wide approach. Metabolic Eng. 2011;13(2):214–24.
- 15. Gu P, Li F, Huang Z, Gao J. Application of acetate as a substrate for the production of value-added chemicals in *Escherichia coli*. Microorganisms. 2024;12(2):309.
- 16. Kaleta C, Schäuble S, Rinas U, Schuster S. Metabolic costs of amino acid and protein production in *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol J. 2013;8(9):1105–14.
- 17. Chen J, Li W, Zhang ZZ, Tan TW, Li ZJ. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for the synthesis of polyhydroxyalkanoates using acetate as a main carbon source. Microb Cell Fact. 2018;17(1):102.
- 18. Kim DH, Hwang HG, Jung GY. Optimum flux rerouting for efficient production of naringenin from acetate in engineered *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol Biofuels Bioprod. 2022;2(15):90.
- 19. Li Y, Huang B, Wu H, Li Z, Ye Q, Zhang YHP. Production of succinate from acetate by metabolically engineered *Escherichia coli*. ACS Synth Biol. 2016;5(11):1299–307.
- 20. Noh MH, Lim HG, Woo SH, Song J, Jung GY. Production of itaconic acid from acetate by engineering acid-tolerant *Escherichia coli* W. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2018;115(3):729–38.
- 21. Song HS, Seo HM, Jeon JM, Moon YM, Hong JW, Hong YG, et al. Enhanced isobutanol production from acetate by combinatorial overexpression of acetyl-CoA synthetase and anaplerotic enzymes in engineered *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2018;115(8):1971–8.
- 22. Yang H, Zhang C, Lai N, Huang B, Fei P, Ding D, et al. Efficient isopropanol biosynthesis by engineered *Escherichia coli* using biologically produced acetate from syngas fermentation. Biores Technol. 2020;1(296): 122337.
- 23. Gebel J, Gemein S, Kampf G, Pidot SJ, Buetti N, Exner M. Isopropanol at 60% and at 70% are efective against 'isopropanol-tolerant' *Enterococcus faecium*. J Hosp Infect. 2019;103(1):e88-91.
- 24. Panjapakkul W, El-Halwagi MM. Technoeconomic analysis of alternative pathways of isopropanol production. ACS Sustainable Chem Eng. 2018;6(8):10260–72.
- 25. Hanai T, Atsumi S, Liao JC. Engineered synthetic pathway for isopropanol production in *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73(24):7814–8.
- 26. Pinhal S, Ropers D, Geiselmann J, de Jong H. Acetate metabolism and the inhibition of bacterial growth by acetate. J Bacteriol. 2019;201(13):e00147-e219.
- 27. Riesenberg D, Schulz V, Knorre WA, Pohl HD, Korz D, Sanders EA, et al. High cell density cultivation of *Escherichia coli* at controlled specifc growth rate. J Biotechnol. 1991;20(1):17–27.
- 28. Prielhofer R, Barrero JJ, Steuer S, Gassler T, Zahrl R, Baumann K, et al. GoldenPiCS: a golden gate-derived modular cloning system for applied synthetic biology in the yeast Pichia pastoris. BMC Syst Biol. 2017;11(1):123.
- 29. Engler C, Kandzia R, Marillonnet S. A one pot, one step, precision cloning method with high throughput capability. PLoS ONE. 2008;3(11): e3647.
- 30. Erian AM, Gibisch M, Pflügl S. Engineered E. coli W enables efficient 2,3-butanediol production from glucose and sugar beet molasses using defned minimal medium as economic basis. Microb Cell Fact. 2018;17(1):190.
- 31. Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identifcation rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantifcation. Nat Biotechnol. 2008;26(12):1367–72.
- 32. Tyanova S, Temu T, Cox J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. Nat Protoc. 2016;11(12):2301–19.
- 33. Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods. 2016;13(9):731–40.
- 34. Kong AT, Leprevost FV, Avtonomov DM, Mellacheruvu D, Nesvizhskii AI. MSFragger: ultrafast and comprehensive peptide identifcation in mass spectrometry–based proteomics. Nat Methods. 2017;14(5):513–20.
- 35. Perez-Riverol Y, Bai J, Bandla C, García-Seisdedos D, Hewapathirana S, Kamatchinathan S, et al. The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D543–52.
- 36. Thiele S, von Kamp A, Bekiaris PS, Schneider P, Klamt S. CNApy: a Cell NetAnalyzer GUI in Python for analyzing and designing metabolic net‑ works. Bioinformatics. 2022;38(5):1467–9.
- 37. Hädicke O, Klamt S. EColiCore2: a reference network model of the central metabolism of *Escherichia coli* and relationships to its genome-scale par‑ ent model. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):39647.
- 38. Orth JD, Conrad TM, Na J, Lerman JA, Nam H, Feist AM, et al. A comprehensive genome-scale reconstruction of *Escherichia coli* metabolism—2011. Mol Syst Biol. 2011;11(7):535.
- 39. Lewis NE, Hixson KK, Conrad TM, Lerman JA, Charusanti P, Polpitiya AD, et al. Omic data from evolved E coli are consistent with computed optimal growth from genome-scale models. Mol Syst Bio. 2010;6(1):390.
- 40. Klamt S, von Kamp A. Analyzing and resolving infeasibility in fux balance analysis of metabolic networks. Metabolites. 2022;12(7):585.
- 41. Sirko A, Hryniewicz M, Hulanicka D, Böck A. Sulfate and thiosulfate transport in *Escherichia coli* K-12: nucleotide sequence and expression of the cysTWAM gene cluster. J Bacteriol. 1990;172(6):3351–7.
- 42. van der Ploeg JR, Eichhorn E, Leisinger T. Sulfonate-sulfur metabolism and its regulation in *Escherichia coli*. Arch Microbiol. 2001;176(1):1–8.
- 43. Lammers M. Post-translational lysine Ac(et)ylation in bacteria: a biochemical, structural, and synthetic biological perspective. Front Microbio. 2021.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.757179>.
- 44. Nakayasu ES, Burnet MC, Walukiewicz HE, Wilkins CS, Shukla AK, Brooks S, et al. Ancient regulatory role of lysine acetylation in central metabolism. MBio. 2017;8(6):e01894-e1917.
- 45. Schastnaya E, Doubleday PE, Maurer L, Sauer U, Non-enzymatic acetylation inhibits glycolytic enzymes in *Escherichia coli*. Cell Rep. 2023;42(1): 111950.
- 46. Venkat S, Chen H, Stahman A, Hudson D, McGuire P, Gan Q, et al. Characterizing lysine acetylation of isocitrate dehydrogenase in *Escherichia coli*. J Mol Biol. 2018;430(13):1901–11.
- 47. Venkat S, Chen H, McGuire P, Stahman A, Gan Q, Fan C. Characterizing lysine acetylation of *Escherichia coli* type II citrate synthase. FEBS J. 2019;286(14):2799–808.
- 48. Cao Z, Song P, Xu Q, Su R, Zhu G. Overexpression and biochemical characterization of soluble pyridine nucleotide transhydrogenase from *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2011;320(1):9–14.
- 49. Ismaiel AA, Zhu CX, Colby GD, Chen JS. Purification and characterization of a primary-secondary alcohol dehydrogenase from two strains of Clostridium beijerinckii. J Bacteriol. 1993;175(16):5097–105.
- 50. Boecker S, Harder BJ, Kutscha R, Pfügl S, Klamt S. Increasing ATP turnover boosts productivity of 2,3-butanediol synthesis in *Escherichia coli*. Microb Cell Fact. 2021;20(1):63.
- 51. Boecker S, Zahoor A, Schramm T, Link H, Klamt S. Broadening the scope of enforced ATP wasting as a tool for metabolic engineering in *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol J. 2019;14(9):1800438.
- 52. Soma Y, Yamaji T, Matsuda F, Hanai T. Synthetic metabolic bypass for a metabolic toggle switch enhances acetyl-CoA supply for isopropanol production by *Escherichia coli*. J Biosci Bioeng. 2017;123(5):625–33.
- 53. Soma Y, Tsuruno K, Wada M, Yokota A, Hanai T. Metabolic fux redirection from a central metabolic pathway toward a synthetic pathway using a metabolic toggle switch. Metab Eng. 2014;1(23):175–84.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.